Role of POCT in the management of patients with acute dyspnea
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This short review aims to put the diagnostic options provided by state-of-the-art POCT technology into perspective with the clinical challenges faced by physicians treating patients presenting with acute dyspnea.

Natriuretic peptides, hemoglobin, D-dimers and venous blood gases play a key role in the early differential diagnosis, as acute heart failure (AHF), anemia and pulmonary embolism are common and important. These biomarkers complement clinical assessment, the 12-lead ECG, chest x-ray and should always be interpreted in the proper clinical context. The short turnaround time offered by POCT has the potential to further accelerate the diagnosis of the underlying disease and thereby the initiation of adequate therapy, which ultimately could translate into improved outcomes.

Acute dyspnea is the key symptom of about 5-10 % of patients presenting to the emergency department (ED) [1-7]. In contrast to common thinking, the mortality of patients presenting with acute dyspnea is even higher than that of patients presenting with acute chest pain.

In addition, dyspnea is a challenging symptom with more than 30 possible underlying diagnoses. Acute heart failure (AHF) is responsible for about 50 % of all cases and therefore requires particular attention (Fig. 1).

Pneumonia, exacerbated COPD and asthma, pulmonary embolism and anemia are also common [1-5]. Early and accurate diagnosis of AHF is particularly challenging in patients with a history of both cardiac and obstructive pulmonary disease.

Delays in establishing the correct diagnosis and appropriate treatment may influence subsequent length of hospital stay, morbidity and mortality [1-7].

The diagnostic process includes three steps. First, ensuring that AHF is the cause of acute dyspnea. This first step relies on clinical judgment to integrate patient history, physical examination, investigations including chest x-ray, the 12-lead ECG, as well as elevated levels of natriuretic peptides [1-5].
In the ED, as well as in the primary care setting, measurement of natriuretic peptides has been demonstrated to be extremely helpful in the diagnosis/exclusion of AHF and to support treatment and referral decisions (Fig. 2).

Cardiac imaging with echocardiography is key in the second step in order to define the underlying cardiac pathology. If natriuretic peptides are available and in the absence of shock, echocardiography can usually be deferred to the second day (Fig. 3).

Step three in the diagnostic process starts in the ED and aims to establish the trigger of AHF, as this will offer additional treatment options, e.g. antibiotics for sepsis.

For an efficient diagnostic process, it is also critical that there is consensus among the different healthcare providers.

**Fig. 1: Acute heart failure is the most common disease underlying acute dyspnea**
In recent years several studies have shown the added value of natriuretic peptides, quantitative markers of hemodynamic cardiac stress and HF in the early diagnosis of AHF.

Three NPs have become clinically available: B-type NP (BNP), N-terminal pro-B-type NP (NT-proBNP), and midregional pro-atrial NP (MR-proANP). All three have been shown to have high and comparable diagnostic accuracy for AHF.

NPs are released from the left and right ventricle as well as from the atria in response to pressure or volume overload.

Hence levels increase according to the severity of HF, their levels seem to integrate the presence and extent of left-ventricular systolic dysfunction, left-ventricular diastolic dysfunction, valvular dysfunction and right-ventricle dysfunction.

The medical as well as economic benefits of using NPs in patients with suspected AHF have been documented in several randomized controlled studies. These data justify the liberal use of NPs in all patients presenting with acute dyspnea.

Levels should be obtained with the initial routine blood draw in order to allow them to be available to clinicians as soon as possible. This is now also reflected in current guidelines.

Fig. 3: Current ESC guidelines highlight the importance of the early measurement of natriuretic peptides in patients with dyspnea.
Natriuretic peptide levels should be interpreted as a quantitative variable with higher levels indicating a higher likelihood for AHF being the main cause of acute dyspnea.

Obesity has been recently identified to be an important confounder in the interpretation of BNP and NT-proBNP levels [8]. Levels in obese patients are much lower than in normal-weight patients. Therefore, they need to be multiplied by about 2 in order to obtain levels that fit into the recommended interpretation algorithm [7].

Pulmonary embolism is the underlying cause of acute dyspnea in 5-10 % of patients.

As symptoms are very unspecific, a diagnostic strategy combining the assessment of pretest probability, D-dimers and CT angiography is applied in all patients with suspected pulmonary embolism.

In contrast to natriuretic peptides, D-dimers should not be measured in all patients with acute dyspnea, but only in those with suspected pulmonary embolism.

Anemia is a common confounder of cardiac and pulmonary disease in patients with acute dyspnea, but very rarely the sole cause in patients with dyspnea as their main symptom.

Venous (not arterial) blood gases provide insights regarding the presence of metabolic acidosis, as well as CO$_2$ retention. With the wide availability of pulse oximetry, arterial puncture and measurement of pO$_2$(a) can be restricted to patients in whom no reliable pulse oximetry signal can be obtained and to patients with some intoxications.

In conclusion, state-of-the-art POCT technology helps physicians to rapidly identify the cause of acute dyspnea. Natriuretic peptides and hemoglobin should be obtained in all, D-dimers and venous blood gases in most patients.
References


